

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/657,196	09/09/2003	Kenji Sera	Q77403	1621	
23373	7590 12/28/2004		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			VESPERMAN, WILLIAM C		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20037		2813		

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						(M)			
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/657,19	96	SERA ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
			Vesperman	2813					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic: period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 'CFR 1.136(a). In no ever ation. ys, a reply within the state ry period will apply and with by statute, cause the apply	ent, however, may a reply be tin story minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 09 September 2	2003.						
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-62</u> is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are very claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-62</u> are subject to restriction and claim(s) are s	vithdrawn from co							
Applicat	ion Papers			•					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Enthe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) n to the drawing(s) to correction is required.	ne held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C) .			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International	cuments have bee cuments have bee he priority docume Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applicat ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	l Stage				
Attachmer 1) Notice	at(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC er No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/657,196

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in reply to applicant's filing of 9/9/2003.

Election/Restrictions

2. A telephone call was made to Howard Bernstein on December 14, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. A <u>thin film semiconductor device</u> comprising: an insulating substrate; a plurality of thin film transistors of n-channel type and p-channel type formed on the insulating substrate each having a polycrystalline silicon film as an active layer. See embodiments. Class 257, subclass 1+. See embodiments. (Claims 1 10, 17, 18 and 20 are suggested.)
- II. A <u>display device</u> Class 257, subclass 1+. See embodiments. (Claims 12 and 13 are suggested.)
- III. A <u>differential amplifier circuit</u> Class 362, subclass 1+. See embodiments. (Claims 21 37, 40, 42, 43, 46, 48, 50 62 are suggested.)

Page 2

Art Unit: 2813

- IV. An amplifier circuit Class 362, subclass 1+. See embodiments. (Claims 38, 39, 41, 44, 45 and 49 are suggested.)
- V. A method of manufacturing a thin film semiconductor device. See embodiments.
 (Claims 15 and 16 are suggested.)
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Groups (I IV) cited above with regards to the claimed invention.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Page 4

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Inventions V and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process; in that instead of introducing the p or n-type dopant in the channel region of the transistors, one could introduce the desired dopants in the polycrystalline silicon film prior to formation of the channel region.
- 6. Because these inventions (Groups I, II, III, IV and V) are distinct for the reasons above, restriction for examining purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143)

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/657,196 Page 5

Art Unit: 2813

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Vesperman whose telephone number is 571-272-1701. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri., 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead, Jr. can be reached on 571-272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WCV

Art Unit 2813

December 14, 2004

CARL WHITEHEAD, OR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEF TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800